

Knowledge Assessment Methodologies Fall School

Public Participation Methods

Ângela Guimarães Pereira

This module will focus on the use of scenarios as a tool and a perspective to explore possible futures of the complex systems socio-ecological systems characteristic of sustainable development issues. The impossibility of rigorous prediction of these systems arises from a number of fundamental properties, and therefore classical forecasting approaches are rarely applicable, particularly in the long term.

Projections of trends in socio-ecological systems may be legitimate over the short-term, but they become unreliable as time horizons expand from months and years to decades and generations. Some studies of the future rely heavily on mathematical models. The aim is a desirable one of establishing a disciplined and internally consistent basis for understanding complex processes. But formal models also have significant limitations for representing complex human and environmental systems. Models can capture only those elements that are both reasonably well-understood and amenable to quantification. Fundamental uncertainty is introduced both by our limited understanding of human and ecological processes, and by the intrinsic indeterminism of complex dynamic systems. Moreover, social futures depend on human choices which are yet to be made.

Scenario analysis offers a way to consider long range futures in light of these uncertainties. Scenarios are not projections, forecasts or predictions. Rather, they are stories about the future with a logical plot and narrative governing the manner in which events unfold. A scenario is a possible course of events leading to a resulting state of the world (or image of the future). The image is like a picture or a snapshot of the future situation; the scenario includes the image plus the history of developments that led to it. Originally, it was defined as a hypothetical sequence of events constructed for the purpose of focusing attention on causal processes and decision points. The importance of considering scenarios as courses of events is that this directs attention to the unfolding of alternatives and to branching points at which human actions can significantly affect the future; scenarios are used to support decision-making.

One important feature of the method of scenarios is that, while they can take into account quantitative insights from available data, numerical calculations, and mathematical models, scenarios give due weight, as well, to the narrative and to key elements that are not quantifiable either in principle (e.g., cultural influences, behavior and institutional responses to change) or in practice (due to inadequacies in data or scientific theory). Thus, scenarios can provide a broader perspective than exercises which are heavily model-based, while at the same time making use of various quantitative tools such as accounting frameworks and mathematical simulation models.

The essential building blocks of scenarios will be identified and discussed, including:

- Current (Initial) Situation
- Critical Dimensions









Knowledge Assessment Methodologies Fall School

- Driving Forces
- Strategic Invariants (Predetermined Elements)
- Critical Uncertainties
- Plots (Logics of the Scenarios)
- Images of the Future

The presentation will include examples of scenarios designed for different purposes and at different scales, from the planet to the city.

Participants at the School, working in small groups, will design their own scenarios for their own areas of interest, under the guidance of the experts, and they will present and defend them by the final day.

REFERENCES

Arnstein, S. R. (1969) A ladder of citizen participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners 35, 216-224.

Bostwick, M. 1999: Twelve angry citizens: Can citizens' juries Improve Local Democracy in New Zealand? In Political Science, Vol. 50, no. 2. Pp. 236 - 246.

Burgess, J., M. Limb and C. Harrison (1988a). "Exploring Environmental Values Through the Medium of Small Groups - 1: Theory and Practice." Environment and Planning A Vol. 20. Pp. 309-326.

Burgess, J., M. Limb and C. Harrison (1988b). "Exploring Environmental Values Through the Medium of Small Groups - 2:Illustrations of a Group at Work." Environment and Planning A Vol. 20; Pp. 457-476.

Darier, E., C. Gough, B. De Marchi, S. Funtowicz, R. Grove-White, D. Kitchener, Â. Guimarães Pereira, S. Shackley, B. Wynne (1999) "Between Democracy and Expertise? Citizens' Participation and Environmental Integrated Assessment in Venice (Italy) and St. Helens (UK)" Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning 1:103-120.

De Marchi B., S. Funtowicz, Â. Guimarães Pereira (2001) "From the Right to Be Informed to the Right to Participate: Responding to the Evolution of the European Legislation with ICT" International Journal of Environment and Pollution 15, 1, 1-21.

De Marchi, B., J. R. Ravetz (2001) "Participatory Approaches to Environmental Policy", Policy Research Brief n. 10, Concerted Action Environmental Valuation in Europe, series editors C. Spash and C. Carter (ISBN 186190 0902). http://www.landecon.cam.ac.uk/eve/

Gough, C., E. Darier, B. De Marchi, Funtowicz, S., R. Grove-White, A. Guimarães Pereira, S. Shackley and B. Wynne (2003). "Contexts of citizen participation" in "Public Participation in Sustainability Science". A Handbook, Edited by: Bernd Kasemir, Jill Jäger, Carlo C. Jaeger, and Matthew T. Gardner (eds), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 37-61.

Guimarães Pereira, Â., S. Corral Quintana, B. De Marchi, S. Funtowicz, G. Gallopin, B. Maltoni (2001) Visions. Adventures into the Future. EUR Report EUR 19926 EN, EC JRC, Ispra.

Guimarães Pereira, Â., C. Gough, B. De Marchi (1999) "Computers, citizens and climate change: the art of communicating technical information" International Journal of Environment and Pollution 11, 3 266-289. (Special Issue 'Citizen Participation in Environmental Assessment edited by P. Bailey and S. Yearley).









Knowledge Assessment Methodologies Fall School

Guimarães Pereira, Ângela & Silvio Funtowicz. 2003. "Methods for Citizen Involvement in New Governance - Reflections Based on Three empirical Cases." In Technikfolgenabschätzung - Theorie und Praxis. Nr. 2, 12. Juni 2003.

Guimarães Pereira, Ângela, J ean-Daniel Rinaudo, Paul Jeffrey, João Blasques, Serafin Corral Quintana, Nathalie Courtois, Silvio Funtowicz & Vincent Petit. 2003. "ICT Tools To Support Public Participation In Water Resources Governance & Planning: Experiences From The Design and Testing of a Multi-Media Platform." Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management. Vol. 5 No. 3. Pp. 395-420.

Guimarães Pereira, Ângela, João Blasques, Serafin Corral Quintana, Silvio Funtowicz. 2004. "Tools To Inform Debates, Dialogues & Deliberations: The GOUVERNe project at the JRC". EC - Joint Research Centre.EC - JRC: EUR 21189 EN. 2004. ISBN: 928949350x.

IAP2 (nd). Public Participation Toolbox. Available on: http://www.vcn.bc.ca/citizens-handbook/participation_toolbox.pdf. [last accessed: 9th August 2006].

Innes, J.E. & Booher, D. E. 2004: Reframing Public Participation: Strategies for the 21st Century. In Planning, Theory & Practice, Vol. 5, No. 4 Pp. 419 - 436.

Involve. 2005: People & participation - How to put citizens at the heart of decision-making, Beacon Press. Available on: http://www.involving.org/mt/archives/blog_13/People%20and%20Participation%20final.pdf. [Last accessed: 9th August 2006].

Leroux, T., Hirtle, M. & Fortin, L.N. 1998: An overview of public consultation mechanisms developed to address the ethical and social issues raised by biotechnology. In Journal of Consumer Policy. Vol. 21 No. 4, Pp. 445 - 481.

Molendijk, K.P.G., Beers, P.J., and van Notten, P. 2001: Building Blocks for Participation in Integrated Assessment: A review of participatory methods. ICIS report IO1-E003, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

Morgan, D. L. (1998). The Focus Group Guidebook. London, SAGE Publications.

Paneque Salgado, P., Corral Quintana, S, Guimaraes Pereira, A; del Moral Ituarte, L; Pedregal Mateos, B. 2004. "The new EU water framework directive and participative evaluation processes: use of multi-criteria tools in the evaluation of water management options in the Costa Del Sol (south Spain)". Geografie: Journal of Czech Geographic Society. vol 2004/2 Pp.156-169

Rowe, G. & Frewer, L.G. 2000: Public Participation Methods: A framework for evaluation. In Science, Technoloogy & Human Values. Vol 25 no. 1. Pp. 3-29.

Rowe, G.; Tom Horlick-Jones, John Walls and Nick Pidgeon. 2005: Difficulties in evaluating public engagement initiatives: reflections on an evaluation of the UK GM Nation? public debate about transgenic crops. In Public Understanding of Science, Vol. 14, No. 4, Pp. 331-352.

Slocum, N. 2003: Participatory Methods Toolkit, A practitioner's manual. Report published by King Baudouin Foundation & Flemish Institute for Science and Technology Assessment with United Nations University. Available at: http://www.kbs-frb.be or http://www.viwta.be.

Van Asselt, M.B.A., Mellors, J., Rijkens-Klomp, N., Greeuw, S.C.H. Molendijk, K.P.G., Beers, P.J., and van Notten, P. 2001: Building Blocks for Participation in Integrated Assessment: A review of participatory methods. ICIS report 101-E003, Maastricht, The Netherlands.





