Reflexive discussion of uncertainty, using pedigree assessment.

Matthieu Craye

Knowledge Assessment Methodologies European Commission, Joint Research Centre



- 1. ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC UNCERTAINTY IN POLICY DEBATES AND CONTROVERSIES.
- 2. A PROPOSAL FOR A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT: DISCUSSION OF UNCERTAINTY AS STARTING POINT FOR MORE FRUITFUL NEGOTIATION AND DELIBERATION.
- 3. RECENT EVOLUTIONS IN THE FRAMEWORKS FOR REGULATION : THE NEED FOR REFLEXIVE APPROACHES TOWARDS UNCERTAINTY



:C - JRC - IPSC

Reflexive discussion of uncertainty.

ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC UNCERTAINTY IN POLICY DEBATES AND CONTROVERSIES.

Case study: analysis of a controversy on potential health effects from waste incineration.

- 1. 'political' problem referred to science.
- 2. insight in the dynamics of controversy: science seems to make the controversy worse.
- 3. reflexivity: 'the issue was dealt with in an inadequate way'



ROLE OF SCIENTIFIC UNCERTAINTY IN POLICY DEBATES AND CONTROVERSIES.

Dynamics of controversy: three inter-related factors:

- factual 'complexity' of the problem leading to 'radical' uncertainty (ignorance, indeterminacy)
- plural framings of the problem, in science (disciplines) and in the socio-political arena (diverse interests, perspectives and value frameworks)
- institutional arrangement: 'science speaks truth to power'

Reflexivity

"the deadlock has fundamental roots and is related to our established thinking, the categories, the concepts and the models that are used."



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT

Can a discussion on scientific uncertainty challenge established thinking and be useful as starting point for more fruitful negotiation?

- ☐ Use of 'pedigree of knowledge': structuring a discussion to increase insight in the crucial aspects of the production process of scientific knowledge
- Discussion organized in an interactive way



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT

PEDIGREE OF KNOWLEDGE:

- ☐ Emerged in the context of N-U-S-A-P notation and discussion system for uncertainty in policy supporting knowledge (Funtowicz and Ravetz)
- □ NUSAP:
 - ✓ Numeral Unit Spread Assessment Pedigree
 - ✓ Different sorts of uncertainty
 - ✓ Promotes a critical reflection on the quality ('fitness for purpose') of knowledge



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT

PEDIGREE OF KNOWLEDGE:

- ☐ Assesses the production process of scientific information
- Addresses and explores 'ignorance' and 'indeterminacy'
- ☐ Assessment through use of a pedigree matrix (phases and modes)
- ☐ Innovative use of pedigree : interactive; involvement of stakeholders; discussing relation between uncertainty and framing, leading to reflexivity.



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT

Using pedigree in an interactive approach aiming at reflexivity:

why and how?

- ☐ Pedigree : focus on uncertainty
- Relating uncertainty to problem framing :
 - ✓ Pedigree addresses the inseparability of the formal (cognitive) and informal (social) aspects of knowledge development
 - ✓ Adaptation of the pedigree scheme :
 - Pedigree phase(s) related to framing



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT

Using pedigree in an interactive approach aiming at reflexivity:

why and how?

- ☐ Intention to experimenting with roles :
 - ✓ Extension of the 'assessing community'
 - Emphasis on other roles.
 - Introduction of different perspectives.
 - Scientific experts in another role
 - ✓ Protocol for interactive pedigree discussion
 - 'Reasoned debate'



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT

Using *pedigree* in an interactive approach aiming at reflexivity : why and how ?

- ☐ Interesting features in view of use in interactive processes :
 - ✓ Extension of existing notation practices
 - ✓ Coherent, simple
 - √ Flexible
 - ✓ Self explanatory power and visual attractiveness



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT AN 'FXPFRIMENT'

- ☐ Workshop for assessing an EPIDEMIOLOGICAL study that had been used in an environmental health risk controversy
- Development of PEDIGREE scheme : PROBLEM FRAMING PHASE
- ☐ Development of pedigree scheme : MODES referring to how the PROCESS to deal with each phase was run
- ☐ The PROTOCOL for discussion :
 - shaped as a REASONED DEBATE
 - •for each phase : two critical aspects discussed
 - role of different participants
 - role of session leader



EC - JRC - IPSC

Reflexive discussion of uncertainty.

A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT – AN 'EXPERIMENT'

The pedigree scheme for assessing an epidemiological study.

	Problem framing	Data – definitions	Data – Collection	Analysis	(extended) Review
4	Negotiation	Negotiation	Task force	established	Extended
3	Scientific	Science	Direct	Discussion	External
2	Compromise	Pragmatic	Bureaucratic	Competition	Independent
1	Inertia	Symbolic	Indirect	Embryonic	Internal
0	Controversy	Unknown	Fiat	No info	none



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT – AN 'EXPERIMENT'

PROTOCOL FOR DISCUSSION



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT AN 'EXPERIMENT'

RESULTS

□ DISCUSSION THEMES

- Epidemiological and socio-political framings 'did not match'
- Adequate use of epidemiology? Disciplinary fix and intra-disciplinary controversy.
- A scientific and/or a political problem ?
- The 'political sensitivity' of research methods.
- The issue of agency. Who assures quality and how?



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT AN 'EXPERIMENT'

RESULTS

- **□** PROCESS:
 - centrality of issues of framing in the discussion
 - raising awareness of complexity
 - starting point for learning
- ☐ SCORING (1-2, 1-2, 2, 2-3, 0)



A REFLEXIVE APPROACH, USING PEDIGREE ASSESSMENT AN 'EXPERIMENT'

REFLECTIONS/CONCLUSIONS

- Potential :
 - possible to 'use' the discussion of uncertainty to initiate reflexive learning (more adequate image of policy related knowledge)
 - judged useful by most participants in this respect
- Important consideration : when to use this ? when is it relevant, necessary ?



THE NEED FOR REFLEXIVE APPROACHES TOWARDS UNCERTAINTY

TO COUNTER UNREFLEXIVE EVOLUTIONS IN DEALING WITH UNCERTAINTY IN THE FRAME OF REGULATION.

<u>US</u>: phenomenon of 'manufacturing uncertainty' + institutional developments (f.i. Data Quality Act)

- ☐ uncertainty is a strategic resource in adversarial approaches towards regulation
- ☐ mainstream suggestions for solving this problem : neglect the importance of the cultural-institutional premises
 - 'science speaks truth to power'
 - 'we have to be certain before we can act'



THE NEED FOR REFLEXIVE APPROACHES TOWARDS UNCERTAINTY

TO COUNTER UNREFLEXIVE EVOLUTIONS IN DEALING WITH UNCERTAINTY IN THE FRAME OF REGULATION.

<u>EU</u>: recognition of need for change in science-policy relations (White paper on governance + EC Communication on the <u>Precautionary Principle</u>), BUT:

- open-ended process of change : interpretation and implementation are important
- some interpretations :
 - only recognition of quantifiable uncertainty
 - unambiguous 'level of uncertainty' expected from science

